

REMARKS

Claims 6 and 9-13 have been canceled. Claims 2-5 and 15-18, amended claims 1, 7, 8, and 14, and new claim 19 are in this application.

Claims 7 and 14 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Each of claims 7 and 14 has been respectively rewritten herein in independent form including the limitations of the base claim. (Note--there were no intervening claims.) Accordingly, it is submitted that claims 7 and 14 are allowable.

Claims 1-6, 8, 13 and 15-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,061,100, (Ward et al.).

Independent claim 1 has been amended herein to include features of claim 6 along with other features. Claim 8 has been similarly or somewhat similarly amended herein. As a result and as an example, amended independent claim 1 now recites in part the following:

"and in which the television receiver further comprises:

motion detection means for inputting the moving picture data that does not contain the motion information, detecting motion information of

the moving picture data, and supplying the detected motion information to the picture process means,

wherein when the moving picture data are input to the motion detection means, the picture process means performs a picture process on the moving picture data according to the detected motion information, and

wherein of (i) the encoded picture data that contains motion information and (ii) the moving picture data that does not contain the motion information, the decoding means only receives the encoded picture data that contains motion information such that the decoding means does not receive the moving picture data that does not contain the motion information, and the motion detection means only receives as an input the moving picture data that does not contain the motion information such that the motion detection means does not receive as an input the encoded picture data that contains motion information." (Emphasis added.)

It is submitted that the present application provides support for the features herein added to claim 1 (and to claims 8). With regard thereto and as an example, reference is made to line 27 of page 17 to line 21 of page 19 and Fig. 2 of the present application.

It is submitted that Ward as applied by the Examiner does not appear to disclose all of the above-described features as now specifically recited in claim 1. For example, in explaining the above 102 rejection with regard to claim 6 the Examiner appears to assert that the temporal motion detection in Figs. 1 and 2 and 11 of Ward is the same as the motion detection means of claim 1. In response, it is submitted that such portions of Ward do not appear to disclose a motion detection means which "only receives as an input the moving picture data

that does not contain the motion information such that the motion detection means does not receive as an input the encoded picture data that contains motion information" as now in claim 1. An example of the arrangement of claim 1 with regard to the encoded picture data and the moving picture data along with the decoding means and the motion detection means is illustrated in Fig. 2 of the present application. As clearly shown therein, of the encoded picture data and the moving picture data, only the moving picture data that does not contain the motion information is supplied to the motion detection section 32.

Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 1 as presented herein is distinguishable from Ward as applied by the Examiner.

For reasons similar to those previously described with regard to claim 1, it is also respectfully submitted that amended independent claim 8 is also distinguishable from Ward as applied by the Examiner.

Claims 2-5 and 15-18 along with new claim 19 are dependent from one of the amended independent claims 1 and 8. Accordingly, it is also respectfully submitted that dependent claims 2-5 and 15-19 are distinguishable from Ward as applied by the Examiner for at least the reasons previously described.

As it is believed that all of the rejections set forth in the Official Action have been overcome, favorable reconsideration and allowance are earnestly solicited. If, however, for any reason the Examiner does not believe that such action can be taken at this time, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone applicant's attorney at (908) 654-5000 in order to overcome any additional rejections and/or objections which the Examiner might have.

Application No.: 10/557,980

Docket No.: SONYJP 3.3-391

If there are any additional charges in connection with this requested amendment, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: November 2, 2010

Respectfully submitted,
Electronic signature: /Dennis M.
Smid, Esq./
Dennis M. Smid, Esq.
Registration No.: 34,930
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP
600 South Avenue West
Westfield, New Jersey 07090
(908) 654-5000
Attorney for Applicant